

Next Steps – 22 September 2021 – Last Updated 6 October 2021

Category	What?	Who?	When?	Comments
STC	<p>STC changes needed to be understood and developed ahead of Workgroup Report being finalised</p> <p><i>Determination of the transmission system capability (Planning Limit(s)) will be central to the required STC processes</i></p>	GN, Terry Baldwin, MPS, SPTL, SHET	<p>GN to speak to Terry 1st</p> <p>Update on 6 Oct</p>	<p>Early stages; will need Ofgem approval; STC Modification to be raised in next 2 months (aim - November 2021 Panel)</p> <p>Concern that discussions previously happened will be lost and Workgroup noted there were defined data requirements, guidance document produced pre-legal separation</p>
Implementation	Break down the 24 months implementation plan into key components/deliverables	ZM, BH, GN, MPS	<p>Ahead of next WG</p> <p>Discuss on 6 Oct</p>	<p><i>DNO provide data to ESO for each GSP by 6 months after Ofgem decision,</i></p> <p><i>ESO provide revised BCAs by ?</i></p> <p>STC ESO/TO processes need to be factored in</p> <p>Consider if ESO will use Mod Notice process?</p> <p>Need a list of GSPs where interdependency with more than 1 DNO?</p> <p>Needs an overall owner – ENA/ESO?</p>
Planning Limit	<p>What further clarity can we provide on Planning Limit?</p> <p><i>Is it Physical capability minus the current T contracted?</i></p>	GN (Product Document) – BH to be consulted	<p>Ahead of next WG</p> <p>Discuss on 6 Oct</p>	<p>More plain English wording in Workgroup Report;</p> <p>If Transmission connectee comes in and needs to be taken into account, what changes and how (GN to update Product Document)</p>

		PM (Workgroup Report)		<i>TO have never calculated a Planning Limit per se but how this is calculated is up to them and will be effectively the capability of the NETS</i>
Validation Fee	Under what circumstances would the ESO charge a validation fee /reject DNO submission	GN (with Connections team)	Ahead of next WG Discuss on 6 Oct	
Publication of Data	What data will be published and where and how frequently?	PM (add to Workgroup Report)	Ahead of next WG Discuss on 6 Oct	<p>ESO will provide a monthly snapshot – not necessarily updated monthly by DNOs though</p> <p>ESO can only publish what is in the App G – <i>felt by some to be scope creep to publish other information and challenges previously identified to publish and keep up to date what is available.</i></p> <p>Concern that this may duplicate what is in the DNO Heat maps and there could well be crossover (although DNOs will make a conscious choice to provide such information to help their own customer interactions) but there will centralised data hosted on ESO website and ESO are happy to point to latest DNO Heat maps</p>
Workgroup Alternatives	Alternative 1 - As per Original but DNO updates to Appendix G are deemed to be accepted unless ESO confirm otherwise rather than ESO Approve/Reject process	BH to draft GN (Legal text)	Ahead of next WG – <i>Sent 5 Oct</i> Discuss on 6 Oct	Disputes process to be made clear
Workgroup Alternatives	Alternative 2 - As per Original but to remove the need for a validation fee to be charged by the ESO to	BH to draft GN (Legal text)	Ahead of next WG - <i>Sent 5 Oct</i>	

	DNOs to confirm requirements of TIA are met		Discuss on 6 Oct	
Workgroup Alternatives	Alternative 3 - As per Original but to remove the need for a validation fee to be charged by the ESO to DNOs to confirm requirements of TIA are met and DNO updates to Appendix G are deemed to be accepted unless ESO confirm otherwise rather than ESO Approve/Reject process	TBC	To be written up after Alternative 1 and 2?	
Workgroup Alternatives	Alternative 4 — Instead of effectively implementing the Appendix G Mkii process, implement the Appendix G Mki process with a dynamic adjustment of Headroom value	MPS	Ahead of next WG Discuss on 6 Oct	<i>Not required to be raised — TO concerns to be progressed through STC route</i>